Paul's love for his countrymen, the Israelites

Paul in Romans 9 was speaking of his countrymen said that he wishes he was a accursed from Christ instead of them. It was Paul who was referring to the Israelites when he was talking about his countrymen who were cut off from God.

When Paul was talking about his countrymen, he understood their despotic estate. He knew that they were cut off from the grace of God. The whole culture could have fallen by the wayside and none would have known any of the better. But Paul wanting to explain the difference, said that they were rejected because "they sought not the things of God by faith of believing the invisible,. But Israel, instead of seeking the things of faith in the invisible sought them as it were by establishing the facts. These were called "stiff- necked always rejecting the Holy Spirit" because they refused to transform in their understanding from carnal to spiritual realities.

Paul understood that it was only the remnant that was saved.

To correctly understand this term remnant, we must associated it with modern terms. A good comparative would be as if a large building project of a multi floored high-rise,. To have the whole place carpeted and then to have the project manager show up and say, that's the wrong carpet, that's the wrong product. We need to rip it out and start over. To have the worker say "isn't any of it good"? And the project managers reply he would say "yes, the end runs and scraps outside the building that were thrown away". That is how much of Israel on earth in the flesh was savable.

We see a fuller picture of this in that day where those went out from the city, being the remnant and those who are rejected from that Israel. These rejects went to John the Baptist in the wilderness to be baptised by the river Jordan to find the truth. They are the remnant that were saved. They were off site of the building project called Israel. That's it. Thats all that were saved. Even as the Jews said then "this is not our king! Crucify Him". And they did. The deal was final. The rejection was mutual. Even as Christ had said, oh Jerusalem how I wept for you in would have gathered you as a

hen gathers her chicks but you are not willing, now this destruction is upon you. And they were destroyed. They thought they had "the kingdom of God" and we're wondering why he wasn't coming down to reign as king. They confused the temporary things for the Eternal as many do in our day as well. Many expect the same thing and reject Him who has entered not a city made by man, but eternal in the Heavens. Even as Christ responded at His trial in that Israel saying "my Kingdom is not from here". So say we the remnant of today.

So in clarifying who it was that Paul had wept for, and who it was that Paul identified with, it was not the tribe of Judah. Paul had strict conflict with the Jews. And they sought to kill him at every corner and chased him down and pursued him and smeared his name constantly. Paul's reference to the thorn in his flesh could have very likely havr been his care for those people. And that is a thorn in the flesh of many today which is why they need to understand "that which is flesh is flesh and that which is spirit is spirit" and quit trying to commingle the two.

Paul said in his Romans 9 thru 11 discourse about his Israel his countrymen that "those of the flesh, these are not the children of God, but those accounted of the promise. These are the children of God. For the Lord said "at the appointed time I will come and Sarah will bring forth a son" showing this is something God did, and not something Abrahm and Sarah did in the bedroom.

Flatout the modern-day Israel is not apart of God's Israel. And modern day Israel is again not the right building project. It is the dillusion with all lying signs and wonders if possible to deceive the elect." (2 Thessalonians)

So when we get to the end of Pauls Romans discourse and in Chapter 11 when he says that "all of Israel shall be saved" you cannot take this out of the context of the rules that is just laid out in the previous two chapters.

Stop

So we said before and we say again that Paul wishes he was a curse for his countrymen according to the flesh, indicating that is Countryman according to the flesh we're just that. They were cursed. And why were they cursed? Because the things that they were supposed to seek by faith, by understanding God's things in The Invisible, they were happy to have them assimilated with their hands and with their eyes and with their flesh. These are not the tools for our transformation. They are your obstacles.

Paul goes on to differentiate the elect in the picture of Jacob and Esau. It was not Esau that was the heir of promise but Jacob saying the older Shall Serve the younger. And that is what the old

Covenant does. It serves the New Covenant children of God. All of its artifacts all of its buildings and feast serve us the elect born according to the promise by way of similes and parables only. Kind of like the game charades. Sounds like and looks like but not like. Paul appropriately defines the first Covenant Israelites as Esau and those born according to the flesh. And if God would have saved that picture in that type of message, then Paul could not have used this analogy. But it is the old Covenant that only serves the New Covenant. The old Covenant was rejected. That even as Esau sold his Birthright in his true Heritage for a bowl of pottage, so to the Israelites of old sold out giving up the things in heaven as being attainable and settled for the silly stupid buildings feasts and sacrifices every Sabbath day of their life. That was their pottage and the forfeiture of the things of God. "for seeing with their eyes they see not, and hearing with their ears they hear not, it is with the heart one must see and hear to understand the things of God". This is the same condition many have today because "Israel who is of the flesh, these are nit the children of God". (Romans 9.8)

Pall then goes on to quote Isaiah saying ""Unless the Lord of[c]Sabaoth had left us a seed,

We would have become like Sodom,
And we would have been made like Gomorrah." the thing is Gomorrah is the very term John in the Book of Revelation used in speaking of Israel of old calling it the place that is spiritually Sodom and Egypt where our Lord was slain.